Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,184	SADAMITSU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fiona T. Powers	1626
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Fiona T. Powers</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin Lemack</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 July 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: 3, 8 and 9		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED. See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
•		
'		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: THe examiner contacted Mr. Lemack to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if the following were done: 1)claim 3, line 4, insert -a- before "sulfonic" and 2)correct the improper multiple dependency in claims 8 and 9. Mr. Lemack agreed to the amendment to claim 3 and said claims 8 and 9 should be amended by deleting "5-7" and "5-8" respectively and inserting -5 or 6-. Also, new claims should be added to the dye type polarizing film which is dependent on claim 7(ths would be new claim 10) and to the polarizing plate which is dependent on claims 7, 8 and new claim 10 (these would be new claims 11 to 13). It was agreed that the amendments mendioned above would be made by examiner's amendment.